IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

[CHHATTISGARH]

SINGLE BENCH

WRIT PETITION NO. /2006

PETITIONER : Seema Devi
W/o Hem Kumar
Aged about 22 years
R/o Vil:- Dalpi, Post
Dullapur, District:
Kabirdham (C.G)

P.R. No. 30824/06
Presented by Shri S.S. Shrivastava
dated 26/6/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1. State of C.G
Through Secretary
Panchayat and Social
Welfare Department
Mantralay D.K.S Bhawan
Raipur (C.G).
2. Collector, Kabirdham
District: Kabirdham (C.G)
3. Project Officer, Integrated
Women and Child Welfare
Department, Pandariya,
District: Kabirdham (C.G)
4. Chief Executive Officer,
Janpad Panchayat
Pandariya, District:
Kabirdham (C.G)
5. Sarpanch, Gram
Panchayat Somnapur
Tah:- Pandariya, District:
Kabirdham (C.G)

RETURNED COPY
CLERK BILASPUR
26/6/06

OATH COMMISSIONER
S.R. Kaushik
BILASPUR TAHSIL AREA

(2)
(5)

WRIT UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF A SUITABLE WRIT, ORDERS OR DIRECTION.

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 3035/06 **सन् 200**

(30)

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	29-6-2006:- Heard Shri Indra Sen Sahu, counsel for the petitioner. Shri N.K. Agrawal, Deputy Advocate General for the State/ respondents No.1 and 2 on advance copy. The main grievance of the petitioner is that she is entitled for consideration for the post of Aangan Badi Karyakarta according to the eligibility criteria for appointment of Aangan Badi Karyakarta issued by the State Government, but respondent No.5 is not accepting the application of the petitioner on which the petitioner approached the Project Officer and Project Officer directed the Sarpanch to accept the application of the petitioner, vide Annexure-P/5, even then Sarpanch has not accepted the application. Thereafter, the petitioner made a representation to the Collector, even then Sarpanch is not accepting the application. Request made by learned counsel for the petitioner is only to the extent of accepting the application of the petitioner and thereafter considering the case of the petitioner for appointment as Aangan Badi Karyakarta according to Government eligibility criteria, therefore, issuance of notice would unnecessarily delay the matter, as such, at this stage, in the interest of justice, respondent No.5 is directed to accept the application of the petitioner for the post of Aangan Badi Karyakarta, consider and decide the same in accordance with the eligibility criteria fixed by the Government for appointment of Aangan Badi Karyakarta, and process the matter further, if, so far, nobody is appointed and posts are lying vacant. However, still respondent No.5 is not satisfied with this order, then he will be at liberty to move an application for recalling of this order. The writ petition is disposed of accordingly. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. **Sd/-** **L.C. Bhadoo** **Judge**	
Barve		